1	NICOLA T. HANNA		
2	United States Attorney DAVID M. HARRIS Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Civil Division JOANNE S. OSINOFF Assistant United States Attorney Chief, General Civil Section JASON K. AXE Assistant United States Attorney California Bar Number 187101 Federal Building, Suite 7516 300 North Los Angeles Street Los Angeles, California 90012 Telephone: (213) 894-8827 Facsimile: (213) 894-7819 E-mail: Jason.Axe@usdoj.gov		
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10	Attorneys for Defendant		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13	WESTERN DIVISION		
14	W BS TERM		
15	CITIZENS COMMISSION ON	No. CV 19 05220 CDM (AEMy)	
16	CITIZENS COMMISSION ON HUMAN RIGHTS,	No. CV 18-05320 CBM (AFMx)	
17	Plaintiff,	ANSWER OF DEFENDANT TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT	
18	V.		
19	UNITED STATES FOOD AND		
20	DRUG ADMINISTRATION,		
21	Defendant.		
22			
23	Defendant, acting by and through its undersigned attorneys, hereby answers		
24	the allegations in Plaintiff's Complaint as follows:		
25	1. The allegations contained in the first sentence of paragraph 1 of the		
26	Complaint constitute Plaintiff's characterization of the case to which no response is		
27	required. To the extent a response is deemed required, Defendant denies the		
28	allegations contained in paragraph 1 of the Complaint.		

4

9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21 22

24

25

23

26

27 28

- 2. Defendant lacks knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the matters asserted in paragraph 2 of the Complaint and on that basis denies them.
- 3. Defendant admits only that it is an agency in the United States Department of Health and Human Services and has at least one duty station in Los Angeles County. Defendant denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 3 of the Complaint.
- 4. Defendant denies the allegations contained in the first two sentences of paragraph 4 of the Complaint. The allegations contained in the third sentence of paragraph 4 of the Complaint constitute allegations of jurisdiction to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed required, Defendant denies the allegations contained in the third sentence of paragraph 4 of the Complaint.
- 5. Defendant admits that Plaintiff submitted a FOIA request to FDA on June 21, 2016, but denies Plaintiff's characterization of that response, contained in the first sentence of paragraph 5 of the Complaint, as "simple." Defendant admits the allegations contained in the second sentence of paragraph 5 of the Complaint.
- Defendant admits only that it sent an email to Plaintiff's counsel on 6. June 14, 2017, and that email speaks for itself. Defendant denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 6 of the Complaint.
- 7. Defendant admits only that Plaintiff's counsel sent an email to the FDA on June 14, 2017, and that email speaks for itself. Defendant denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 7 of the Complaint.
- Defendant admits only that it sent a follow-up email to Plaintiff's 8. counsel on June 14, 2017, and that email speaks for itself. Defendant denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 8 of the Complaint.
- 9. Defendant admits only that there were email communications with Plaintiff's counsel between July 11, 2017, and July 12, 2017, and that those emails

2

3 4

5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

///

speak for themselves. Defendant denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 9 of the Complaint.

- Defendant admits only that it sent an email to Plaintiff's counsel on 10. July 24, 2017, and that email speaks for itself. Defendant denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 10 of the Complaint.
- 11. Defendant admits only that it sent an email to Plaintiff's counsel on August 14, 2017, and that email speaks for itself. Defendant denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 11 of the Complaint.
- 12. Defendant admits only that there were email communications with Plaintiff's counsel between September 20, 2017, and September 27, 2017, and those emails speak for themselves. Defendant denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 12 of the Complaint.
  - 13. Deny.
- Defendant admits only that Plaintiff's counsel sent FDA a letter dated 14. October 10, 2017, that there were email communications with Plaintiff's counsel between October 17, 2017, and November 11, 2017, and that those emails speak for themselves. Defendant denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 14 of the Complaint.
- 15. Defendant admits only that it received an administrative appeal from Plaintiff's counsel by letter dated January 16, 2018, alleging "denial of access to records, FDA No. 2016-5287." Defendant denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 15 of the Complaint.
  - 16. Deny.
- 17. The allegations of paragraph 17 of the Complaint constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed required, Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 17 of the Complaint.

1

2

- 18. The remainder of the Complaint constitutes Plaintiff's prayer for relief to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is deemed required, the allegations of the prayer for relief are denied. Plaintiff should take nothing at
- Any allegation contained in Plaintiff's Complaint that has not been admitted or denied is hereby denied.

### FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The Complaint fails to state a claim against Defendant upon which relief can be granted. Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6).

## **SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

The Complaint purports to impose obligations upon Defendant that exceed those imposed by the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA").

#### THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff is not entitled to compel the production of records that are exempt from disclosure under FOIA or under other provisions of law, or to compel the production of records that are not subject to FOIA.

## **FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

Defendant is not improperly withholding any responsive documents.

## FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

At all times alleged in the Complaint, Defendant acted in good faith, with justification, and pursuant to authority.

///

# Case 2:18-cv-05320-CBM-JPR Document 17 Filed 12/10/18 Page 5 of 5 Page ID #:54

1	WHEREFORE, Defendant prays for judgment dismissing Plaintiff's	
2	Complaint, and awarding Defendant its costs and disbursements in this action, and	
3	for such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.	
4	D ( 1 D	
5	Dated: December 10, 2018 Respectfully submitted,	
6	NICOLA T. HANNA United States Attorney DAVID M. HARRIS	
7	Assistant United States Attorney	
8	Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Civil Division JOANNE S. OSINOFF Assistant United States Attorney Chief, General Civil Section	
9	Assistant United States Attorney Chief, General Civil Section	
10		
11	/s/ Jason K. Axe JASON K. AXE	
12	Assistant United States Attorney Attorneys for Defendant	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

28